UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Ronald Ross, et al.		
	7000	CASE NO. 08-00236 MMC
	Plaintiff(s),	
V. County of Alameda, et	al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s)/	
	port that they have met and con on pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8	ferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree	to participate in the following A	DR process:
Ear ✓ Me (Note: Parties who appreciably more ADR phone confe	n-binding Arbitration (ADR L.I. In Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (diation (ADR L.R. 6) To believe that an early settlement likely to meet their needs than a rence and may not file this form the rence. See Civil Local Rule 16-	ADR L.R. 5) It conference with a Magistrate Judge is any other form of ADR, must participate in an Interpretate in the conference of Need for
✓ Pri	vate ADR (please identify proce	er, and will notify the Court once they have done so.
the ref	erring the case to an ADR proce	
✓ oth	er requested deadline December	2008 [so that the parties may obtain discovery]
Dated: 5/23/08	·	/s/ - "Mark E. Merin" Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 5/23/08		/s/ - "Gregory J. Rockwell" Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

-{PROPOSED} ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

other: December 19, 2008

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 27, 2008

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

fine Of Ches

DISTRICT